P7 17 98.

G. R. S. IX-5

Previous references.

1893-94
192
(L.R.)

File No 73-9

Serial Nos 1-14

Later references

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

Serial Nos. 1-14

In Part B for September 1898

SUBJECT.

Grant of Land to Ramanadhya in Chickballapur Taluk.

(2)

Extract Book, (Forest)

Furnish Petitioner twelve Years' extracts from Pahanis & Kharabani-gyanis and copy of the Survey settlement. Also extracts of Mr Peter's Dyavarahalli, private Channapatna taluk.

Office-note

Petitions No 984 of 1893-94
" 2370
A.S. No 44 of 93-94.

Petitioners - 1 Kenda Heggade
2 Basavanya

Counter-petitioners - Thimme Gowda & others of Somenhalli in Channapatna taluk.

2. The same period

Mad
3.7.95

Call for Kaul
Kaul
4-7-95

Petitioners appealed to the Dy Commr, Bangalore Dt against the order of the Supt Revenue Survey, treating maji No 27 (now part of S. No 11 and measuring 10 acres + 30 guntas) in Dyavarahally as 'Kharab' during the late survey settlement.

2. The Dy Commr without entering into the merits of the case, dismissed the case as beyond his

Submitted

I have not the pleasure of the D.J. or its contents - Judging it was written by the Secretaria for whom the Petn had been drawn, and duly noted the issue of it.

Seeing that in the Judgement of June 1897 intervenor was refused the declaration as the interest therein of Petr would have been protected by invoke attention to the allegation of misrepresentation, &c. if necessary was made by Petr - As Petr refers to an order said to have been in the person, there may have been a report from the Assessor and this papers have been dealt with in the Revenue Branch

If no reference are found coming here, we may ask for a copy of the D.J. & enquire what action if any was taken thereon.

18/12/97

To Revenue Branch for report

Rec'd 30/11/97
Subd

Petn to Register nothing on record in matter subject.

17/12/97
Rec'd 18/12/97 Subd

18/12/97 MG

A copy of the DO may now be called for as well as a report of the action taken thereon

Subd & Sealed
MG 20/12/97

Not in Revenue order Book

Rec'd 30 NOV 97

To Rev Branch for rep by May

My 29/11/97

Petn to Register nothing on record in matter subject.

17/12/97
Rec'd 18/12/97 Subd

18/12/97 MG

A copy of the DO may now be called for as well as a report of the action taken thereon

Subd & Sealed
MG 20/12/97

To Revenue Branch for report by May

Subd 23/12

Note.

The present petitioner, Revanaradhya, presented on 20/11/93 a petn to govt. stating that he and his ancestors had been in the enjoyment of certain garden lands in Sunkur Talla for over 80 or 90 years; that during the great famine of 1877-78 he left his village temporarily; that subsequently, on a false report that he had deserted, the lands were resumed by Govt. and given over to the Kaudagya for a year and then to one Channaveeraya; and that on his return, his application for the restoration of his lands was refused. Under these circumstances the petr prays that govt may be pleased to restore the lands in question to him.

The petition was forwarded on 23/12/93 to the Dy Comm^r, Dharwar for an exhaustive report on the facts of the case. That Officer submitted his report on 20/1/94 which clearly went to prove that the petr had deserted the land in question for several years before 1877-78, and failed to pay Kaudagya during those years, that it was accordingly resumed by govt during the famine

of 1877-78, that it had remained unoccupied till 1880 when it was given to another, and that it had since changed hands.

On the receipt of the report from the Dy Comm^r an endorsement was given to the pet^r on 8/2/94 distinctly rejecting his claim for restoration; and on the pet^r presenting himself again in person, an additional endorsement was given by the Orders of the Divan on 26/6/94 mentioning in detail the circumstances under which his claim was rejected and stating finally that no attention would be paid to any further representation from him regarding it.

On 20/10/94 pet^r presented another pet^n to Govt. urging his claims. This was ordered to be filed but a note to the effect — "S.O. written to the Dy Comm^r" was added. A copy of the S.O. is not on the file and there is nothing from which to infer what it contained.

Nearly three years

(6)

The D Commr was thereupon requested to send a copy of the O.O. said to have been sent to him from D.H.O in 1894

The D Commr stated in reply that the O.O. was not forthcoming in his office

On a subsequent petition from the petr applying for S Nos 58 & 59 in Balakuntahalli in Chickballapur taluk, the D Commr was requested to report "whether the lands applied for are available & also whether they have any & what market value" (vide order on S No 12)

The D Commr forwarded a

10

a letter from the Sub Dr
Mr stating that the
market-value of the
lands (~~this~~ 58 & 59

No		area a g	assessment Rs. a-p
58	(dry)	2-25	4-11-"
59	(dry)	6-14	9-4-"
	(garden)	2-36	13-12-"

may be set-down at
Rs 1622½ / These lands
having been rendered
irrigable by the newly-
constructed Srinivasa-
Sagara tank

Under these circumstances,
the application of the
petr will have to be
rejected

Moreover there can be
no question of exchange
or grant of lands in lieu

afterwards, on 10/9/97 petr presented a fresh petn which is now under consideration. The petr prays that certain lands which he has selected in Chickballapur Taluq may be given to him in lieu of those resumed in 1877-78 as promised by the Dewan in person. His petn was forwarded to the Dy Commr Tumkur for disposal on 29/12/97. The Dy Commr, in his reply of 16/3/98 invites attention to the final endorsement given to petr on 8/2/94 (mentioned above) and submits that the petn may be forwarded to the Dy Commr of Kolar for disposal, the lands prayed for being situated in that District

From the papers on the file it is clear that the final decision of Govt, after a careful inquiry into the facts of the case, was to the effect that petr had no claim whatever for the restoration of the lands properly resumed by Govt. The petitioner's present prayer is based upon the grounds of the alleged oral orders of the Dewan to the petr directing him to choose certain

lands to be given to him
in lieu of those resumed.
There is nothing to show
how or under what
circumstances the oral
orders or promise was given
to the petitioner

B.R.
24/8/98

Some papers relating to this case
have been discovered since the last
order was passed. These are dated
1905 & 55. on serial No 5 there is
an order by the Dewan Stating in conclusion
that no further representations
will be attended to

Pet^r relies on an oral promise
for grant of the lands in lieu
but ~~earlier~~ this aspect of the
case must have been considered
when the enq^r of 8/2/94 under the
orders of the Commissioner or the
enq^r of 25/6/94 under the order of
the Dewan were ordered.

Whether the pet^r is entitled
to any special treatment has to
be considered before his petition can
be sent to the Bd of Comm^r for the S^t
who will otherwise treat it as an ordinary departmental

24/8/98

When Sub- (the Dewan &
Mr Chentsal Rao) had
twice decided that the
land claimed by the Petr
had been properly resumed
by Govt Govt. been
deserted
~~resigned~~ by him
There are numerous
Cases in which persons
resign their lands
when they are valueless
and after some 12 yrs
question the very fact-
of resignation.

14/6/98

Received back the record
& Memorandum of Mr
Lascelles with Mr Rogers
letter. He is right and
I agree with his
& Memorandum

P.T.O 15/6/98

The petr appeared to-day

He prays that the claimed a grant of lands in exchange as a matter of right & was not disposed to pay an upset price

15/6/95

[illegible] for orders on the

land in Khasia. The application for grant of the land prefers or in lieu of the land he has left & has since been in occupation. He may be informed accordingly.

2/91

Secy

Dewan

25/9/95

Secy to Govt

7/9/95

Under Secy to Govt.

The matter was dealt with by the Dewan on 25/6/94 (Vide Dy No The Petn has since been presented by the Dewan for the grant of other lands elsewhere. We believe that the Dewan is disposed to entertain the application favourably

21-6-95

Secy to Govt

25/7/95

9572

The Govt grant of Sub on 25.6.94 as per Dewan's order above

Sub

to Govt. & prays that the lands, which was a valuable one and which was in the enjoyment of his family for 80 or 90 years, may be restored to him.

With another petition on the subject to H.H. the Maharaja, the petr submits: —

(1) his petition of 16th Aug. 1884 to the Amildar of Tenkasi praying for the restoration of his land S. No. 52. On this the Amildar has ordered that the land was resumed on a report that petr had disappeared from the village and that it was made over on

There petitions petr's father is one Sivanandaraja

13

Dankhast- to one Lakshmi
narasiah who could
not be disturbed;

(2) another petition
of the same date to the
same Officer praying
for the restoration of
another land S. No. 42.
Similar orders as in the
above were passed
on this also;

and (3) an Endorsement
No. 234 of 12th Aug. 1886
from the Inam Kum
Distt. Office stating
that the petn's claim (that has been rejected twice by
to land S. No. 54 (Cam & the same courts
not be recognized;
after a further lapse of 4
years. This Endorse-
ment is not attested.

L.R. 73-93-1

Order

In the matter of petition
calling for a detailed report as
(1) what the average annual
land re
(2) history of its ownership
3) how it came to be given
away on darkast in favour
in the petition

When petition dated 4th
how the case was dealt with
disposed of by the Amildar
then by the Dewan in
1880 - 882 as per
an enclosed endorsement
from the Tumkur Deputy
Kadamani Taluk 23/11/93.
or those who called
last time the land
and the future.

(General Serial No. 32.)

189

MYSORE DEWAN'S
PETITION DEPART
RECEIVED 629

Mysore

No. Cam 22 Sal

Name of Petitioner: Venkatadasiah
son of Krishnaiah

Village. Alalavandu

Taluk. Tumkur

District. Tumkur

Date of Arzi.

Date of Receipt. 20

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

23/11/93

Dasiah

Daft sent
9/11/93

the days of Hyder Ali
military under the
Maharaja; rewarde
with main lands
which served them
their followers

In the years
Dhatu [illegible]
the petitioner was

om his village many
the famine which
ein prevailed and
Lalik and District
Province, on a report
om the Village Officials
at he had disappeared
om the Village, perished
accessed farden
nd, Survey No. 82, And
ue it away, first to
e Retiring Manager, for
year and then to one
emanirieah in whose
occession it now is.

When, after the
great famine the Petr
turned to his village
ed applied to the
Province for the restora
tion of his own land
they refused to accept
is claim to it and
e Petrepre sen Appeals

MYSORE SEWERAGE OFFICE
DRAWING DEPARTMENT
No. 1449
DATE 24-11-93

ਸਾਹਿਤ ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਭਾਰਤ ਸਰਕਾਰ ਦੇ ਸਿੱਖਿਆ ਮੰਤਰਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਮਤੇ ਨੰ. ੨੪/੧/੫੪-ਸੰਸਕ੍ਰਿਤ ਮਿਤੀ ੧੩ ਮਾਰਚ ੧੯੫੪ ਰਾਹੀਂ ਕੀਤੀ ਗਈ ਸੀ। ਇਸ ਦਾ ਮੁੱਖ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਨਵੀਂ ਦਿੱਲੀ ਵਿਚ ਹੈ। ਇਸ ਦੇ ਮੁੱਖ ਉਦੇਸ਼ ਹਨ:

੧. ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਉੱਨਤੀ ਅਤੇ ਪ੍ਰਸਾਰ ਲਈ ਸਰਗਰਮ ਯਤਨ ਕਰਨਾ।

੨. ਭਾਰਤੀ ਭਾਸ਼ਾਵਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਸਾਹਿਤਕ ਆਦਾਨ-ਪ੍ਰਦਾਨ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਕਰਨਾ।

੩. ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਤੀਨਿਧਤਾ ਕਰਨ ਵਾਲੇ ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਫ਼ਦ ਵਿਦੇਸ਼ਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਭੇਜਣਾ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਦੇਸ਼ੀ ਸਾਹਿਤਕਾਰਾਂ ਦੇ ਵਫ਼ਦ ਭਾਰਤ ਵਿਚ ਬੁਲਾਉਣ ਦਾ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧ ਕਰਨਾ।

ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਸਥਾਪਨਾ ਸਮੇਂ ਇਸ ਦੀ ਪ੍ਰਬੰਧਕ ਕਮੇਟੀ ਵਿਚ ੨੧ ਮੈਂਬਰ ਸਨ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਵਾਹਰ ਲਾਲ ਨਹਿਰੂ ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ ਅਤੇ ਡਾ. ਸੁਨੀਤੀ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਚੈਟਰਜੀ ਉਪ-ਪ੍ਰਧਾਨ ਚੁਣੇ ਗਏ। ਸ੍ਰੀ ਕ੍ਰਿਸ਼ਨ ਕ੍ਰਿਪਲਾਨੀ ਨੂੰ ਸਕੱਤਰ ਨਿਯੁਕਤ ਕੀਤਾ ਗਿਆ। ਕਮੇਟੀ ਦੇ ਹੋਰ ਮੈਂਬਰਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਮੈਥਿਲੀ ਸ਼ਰਣ ਗੁਪਤ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਮਿਤ੍ਰਾਨੰਦਨ ਪੰਤ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਰਾਮਧਾਰੀ ਸਿੰਘ ਦਿਨਕਰ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਬਾਲਕ੍ਰਿਸ਼ਨ ਸ਼ਰਮਾ ਨਵੀਨ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੁਭਦ੍ਰਾ ਕੁਮਾਰੀ ਚੌਹਾਨ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਹਰਿਵੰਸ਼ ਰਾਏ ਬੱਚਨ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਮ੍ਰਿਤਾ ਪ੍ਰੀਤਮ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਸੋਹਣ ਸਿੰਘ ਜੋਸ਼, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਕਰਤਾਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਦੁੱਗਲ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵਿਸ਼ਨੂੰ ਪ੍ਰਭਾਕਰ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਅਨੰਤ ਕਾਕਾ ਕਾਲੇਲਕਰ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਗੋਕਾਕ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਐਸ. ਖਾਂਡੇਕਰ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਨਰਸਿੰਘ ਰਾਓ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਆਰ. ਵੀ. ਰਾਓ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਕ੍ਰਿਸ਼ਨਾ ਮੈਨਨ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਰਾਮਾਨੁਜਨ, ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਸੁਬਰਾਮਨੀਅਮ ਅਤੇ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਵੀ. ਕੇ. ਵੈਂਕਟਰਮਨ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਸਨ।

ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਵਿਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਵਿਚ ਹੀ ਕਈ ਮਹੱਤਵਪੂਰਨ ਕਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਇਤਿਹਾਸ ਦੀ ਤਿਆਰੀ ਲਈ ਯੋਜਨਾ ਬਣਾਉਣਾ, ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀਆਂ ਚੋਣਵੀਆਂ ਰਚਨਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਵਾਦ ਕਰਵਾਉਣਾ ਅਤੇ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਤ੍ਰੈਮਾਸਿਕ ਰਸਾਲਾ ਕੱਢਣਾ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਸਨ। ਰਸਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਅੰਕ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਵਾਹਰ ਲਾਲ ਨਹਿਰੂ ਦਾ ਸੰਦੇਸ਼ ਛਪਿਆ ਸੀ।

ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਵਿਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਵਿਚ ਹੀ ਕਈ ਮਹੱਤਵਪੂਰਨ ਕਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਇਤਿਹਾਸ ਦੀ ਤਿਆਰੀ ਲਈ ਯੋਜਨਾ ਬਣਾਉਣਾ, ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀਆਂ ਚੋਣਵੀਆਂ ਰਚਨਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਵਾਦ ਕਰਵਾਉਣਾ ਅਤੇ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਤ੍ਰੈਮਾਸਿਕ ਰਸਾਲਾ ਕੱਢਣਾ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਸਨ। ਰਸਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਅੰਕ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਵਾਹਰ ਲਾਲ ਨਹਿਰੂ ਦਾ ਸੰਦੇਸ਼ ਛਪਿਆ ਸੀ।

ਅਕਾਦਮੀ ਦੀ ਪਹਿਲੀ ਸਾਲਾਨਾ ਰਿਪੋਰਟ ਵਿਚ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਗਿਆ ਕਿ ਇਸ ਨੇ ਆਪਣੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਸਾਲ ਵਿਚ ਹੀ ਕਈ ਮਹੱਤਵਪੂਰਨ ਕਾਰਜ ਕੀਤੇ। ਇਨ੍ਹਾਂ ਵਿਚ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੇ ਇਤਿਹਾਸ ਦੀ ਤਿਆਰੀ ਲਈ ਯੋਜਨਾ ਬਣਾਉਣਾ, ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਦੀਆਂ ਚੋਣਵੀਆਂ ਰਚਨਾਵਾਂ ਦੇ ਅਨੁਵਾਦ ਕਰਵਾਉਣਾ ਅਤੇ ਭਾਰਤੀ ਸਾਹਿਤ ਨਾਂ ਦਾ ਤ੍ਰੈਮਾਸਿਕ ਰਸਾਲਾ ਕੱਢਣਾ ਸ਼ਾਮਲ ਸਨ। ਰਸਾਲੇ ਦੇ ਪਹਿਲੇ ਅੰਕ ਵਿਚ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਜਵਾਹਰ ਲਾਲ ਨਹਿਰੂ ਦਾ ਸੰਦੇਸ਼ ਛਪਿਆ ਸੀ।

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

ਸੰਤ ਸਿੰਘ ਸੇਖੋਂ ਦੀ ਕਹਾਣੀ ਕਲਾ ਦਾ ਵਿਕਾਸ ਅਤੇ ਵਿਸ਼ੇਸ਼ਤਾਵਾਂ

Xerox Copy

No. C 45

[illegible]

[illegible]

1894 [illegible] 25 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible] 1893 [illegible]

20 [illegible]

[illegible]

[illegible]

[

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
No. 1450
DATE 29-11-93

LR 73-93-2

(2)

Registered
No. 628
29-11-93

ಮಾನ್ಯರೇ, ಸೂಚನೆಯಾಗಿದ್ದೇನೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ - ಈ ಸಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ

ಮೈಸೂರು ಪಟ್ಟಣದ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ ಅಲ್ಲಿ

ಕಾಲಿಗೆ ಬಿದ್ದು ಕೇಳಿಕೊಂಡರೂ ಅವರು ಕೊಡಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರ ಮಾತಿಗೆ ಎದುರಾಡಲು ಯಾರಿಗೂ ಧೈರ್ಯವಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಆಗಬೇಕಿತ್ತು. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಕಾನೂನು. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸತ್ಯ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಧರ್ಮ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ನ್ಯಾಯ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಶಾಸ್ತ್ರ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಪುರಾಣ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಇತಿಹಾಸ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಭವಿಷ್ಯ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ವರ್ತಮಾನ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಸ್ವ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಜ್ಞ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವೇಶ್ವರ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಾಂತರ್ಯಾಮಿ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವವ್ಯಾಪಿ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಶಕ್ತ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿ. ಅವರು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದೇ ಸರ್ವಾಧಿಕಾರಿಯಾಗಿ

Petition - Ravana Reddy

Begs to give short the Name
in Question Which was
Wrongly given to his Ancestor
which was in the Engagement
of himself & his ancestors

Ed ✓
826

1-12-93

LR 73-93-3

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Petition No. two 1449 & 1450
dated 20 Nov. 1893 received at the
Dewan's Office from Devamma widow of
Motikai Lucanna residing in Alalasa
Village, Tumkur Taluk, complaining
of the grant of his land Sur. No. 54
of Alalasandi village first to the
Ranbagne and then to one Thimmaiah
during petitioner's absence in the Grand Khata Year
forwarded, together with (1st) Mahazar
enclosures, to the Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District, for a detailed re
port on the following points

(i) What the extent and assess
ment of the land is
(ii) History of its ownership
(iii) How it came to be given a
s Darkhast as alleged in the petitio
(iv) In whose possession it is
(v) How far the case has been dea
of by the Huildar
(vi) How far the matter has been di
-posed of by the Deputy Commr in 188
and 1882 as referred to in the enclos
copy of endorsement said to have b
granted by the Tumkur Sheristadar

By Order

Draft

Chief Secretary.

Bangalore
BANGALORE,
23 Nov 1893.

2/12
Nil

M. G. C. P. Bangalore.—21-11-92—3,000.

L273-934

(General Serial No. 30.)

189 1337.

From Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

To

The Chief Secretary to the ~~Govt~~ Govt
of Mysore.

Dated 20 January 1894

Despatched 22

Received

Enclosures No. 5

General No.

No. 565

Chief Secretary's No 826
of the 23 November 1894

Reports on the petitions of Ramaraiah son of Mutukai Swami of the Malavandra village in the Tumkur Taluk

Transmitted to Chief Secretary's Office

24/1/94

Recd 24/1/94

Submitted for information

24/1

The following endorsement may be given.

"Petitioner having deceased ~~Govt proceed~~ & the case so long ago as 1877-78 & it having been given to another in 1880, it cannot be now reopened in his name."

~~Secretary to Govt~~

30/1/94

Secretary

Dimitar District.

No 565 Deputy Commissioner's Office.

Dimitar 2nd January 1894.

To

The Chief Secretary to the

Government of Mysore.

Sir,

With reference to your Official Memorandum No 826 dated the 23rd November 1893 forwarding the petition of Ramireddy son of Bratkai Ummi residing in the Lacanika Village of the Dimitar Taluk, complaining of the grant of his land known as No 54 of Hallasandra Village forfeited to the Sircar for Kandayam and taken to one Ummanna during petitioner's absence in the years Sixty and Sixty one (1867-68) and asking for a detailed report on certain points, I have the honor

honour to state as follows.

2. The entire extent of the land in question is 3 Acres and 22 Cuntas and the assessment is Rupees 5-2-0 ~~Paid~~ an extent of 3 acres and 3 guntas assessed at Rupees 5-2-0 was in Petitioner's State at Pattā number.

3. To whom the land belonged prior to 1870 cannot be ascertained as no particulars of the Kadatur holdings are forthcoming in the Circle accounts previous to that year. In the accounts subsequent to 1870 and upto 1880 one Somanadan is entered as the Pattadar of the land. Owing to his death in 1881 his adopted son Ramanadan (Petitioner) and his daughter in-law Somakka were entered as joint Pattadars upto the year Saravara (1887-88).

These

Such names appear in the revenue survey settlement register also on the statement of cultivation prepared and produced before the Lamakmoi officer in 18-- a remark was made against these names to the petitioners effect -

"Deserted - No heirs"

In 18-- the then settlement Commissioner ordered that the Kadalgan due from those that had either died or deserted should be struck off the accounts of the year Saraara (18--9) Thereon the petitioners land was resumed by Government - After the lapse of years thereafter i.e on the 28th April 1880 Thangjue Ariekumaraich had an application for the land - As it was a Pyte number the wishes of the other petitioners were asked - He declined to take up the land and stated that he had no objection

to the same being granted to the applicant. Upon the petition being presented a petition on the 3rd June 1878 to the Sheriff of the said District praying that a writ to which the Village thereto belonged, stating that owing to the famine he had directed the Village with the view of erecting a Guthikool elsewhere and that he and his family would return to it to reside there, and praying that his land, which had been struck off in that year Samvat (1877-78) on the ground of diversion might be restored to him and the Mamlatdar's Sheristadar for it ejected. Subsequently the Sheristadar directed a Karkoon to the Thaltalar on the 14th June 1878 directing him to produce the parties concerned before him (Thalt dar) on the 20th idem - In obedience the Thaltalar reported to the Collector

No 229 dated the 24th June 1930 that he had produced the Certificate but that he could not procure the attendance of the petitioner as he was residing in Bangalore at the Christchurch Estate. Thereupon the Assistant Recorder the then Amildar issued an order to the Sirastdar (No 252 dated the 30th July 1930) stating that as the petitioner had long remained silent without reclaiming his land, caused in the year Saumya and claimed it only after it had been sold for by others and as he had failed to appear though the matter had stood over for a month and a half in expectation of his appearance, the Sanction of the Sanctioning had been refused and directed that it should be made over to the applicant - The Sirastdar in reply

Rs 4 00 dated 23rd August, 88, re-
ported that he had received a
made over to the applicant. It is
now in the possession of one Chinna-
veerah who obtained it on transfer
from the Kanakapa in Chittaldrug
(1882-83)

4. On the 5th February, 89 Kal-
lappa nephew of Kalgnani Ka-
maraddi and cousin of the de-
fendant presented a petition to the
Karnatagiri Cutcherry stating that
the land was in the enjoyment of
his ancestors for 7 or 8 years, that
he and his uncle (Kalgnani Ka-
maraddi) were enjoying it and
paying its Karalagam in equal
shares, that on his uncle's death,
the Patta was made out in the
name of his uncle on (the fictitious)
and Cadaram (1882-83) the latter

left

left the place for certain their
livelihood elsewhere, that in their
absence their land was resumed
by Government on the ground of de-
sertion and that the Petitioner
Latchmiaraiah obtained it on
perfect notice to the petitioner
protest against the grant and learnt
that it might be restored to him.
The petition was received on the 2nd
June 1852, by Mr. Examiner the
then Assistant on the ground that
the land had been resumed by
Government and given away by the
former Assistant to Sarvotam
Latchmiaraiah

5. The petitioner remained silent
up to 1854 and then received his
complaints in reference to petitioners
right over the Assistant of the Com-
missioner, to which the Village were
transferred, and to the Revenue
Commissioner

Commissioner visited the 23rd Jan. '84,
the 19th August '84, the 16th Jan. '85,
and the 5th February '85, whereby
in all of which he feared for the
restoration of his land. Petition by
Krishna Dutt Pan the then Sub
Commissioner issued an Injunction
on the 12th August '85 to the effect
that the petitioner complaint had been
twice inquired into not rejected viz.
once in the month of July '83 and
then again in the month of July '84,
that 4 years had elapsed and that
therefore nothing could be done.

6. Vide the nature of the case.

7. The enclosures of the official memo
under reply are hereinto returned.

I have the honor to be
Sir,
Your most obedient Servant.

Deputy Commissioner.

No. 1449-1450-
L 73-93

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT,
8th Feby 1894

Endorsement granted to Recommending a fair assessment

Lumbini Lalak

in reply to his Petitions Registered Nos. 1449/1450 dated 2nd Nov 93
complaining that the land assessed at Rs 54 in Halavadi
was granted to them ~~by Government~~ at concession the
village ~~in the years 1873 and 1874~~

Petitioner is informed that Petitioner having received the
land, as long ago as 1877-78,
and it having been given
to another in 1880, it cannot
be now registered in his name.

The enclosures are returned

5/2/94

"By Order"

Draft
Chief Secretary.

...re Govt. Central Press, Lang... 9-2-93—2000.

13275
Pet 1291

8-2-94

Copy forwarded to the Deputy Commissioner Lourdes District with reference to his No 554 of 20.1.94.

By Order

Chief Secretary

S.C.
3/2

Petr came here on 11th inst & handed in written English translation of his Petition (No. C. 75 of 1894) which was given to Mr Cowan for report.
25/2/95

Cowan
Petr has called on me in connection with the matter lately decided by this Govt. I told him that I had no alternative but to refer him to the decision of Govt & that I could not interfere in the matter.
25/6/95.

If Petr comes again give him written English translation of Canarese Sudr. as follows:-

On your Petn of 20th Nov 93 a very careful enquiry was made on the subject of his Complaint. It was then ascertained that he deserted the land in question for several years before 1877/78 and failed to pay Cowle on account of it during those years, that it was accordingly resumed by Govt during the Settlement of Ramnad (1877-78) that it remained unoccupied for the 1891, when it was given to another, and that it has since changed hands. Under these circs the Govt on 8th Feby 1894 informed him by Endorsement that his application for the land made for the land

Gen. Serial No. 32.

No. 1101

Name of Petitioner.

Village.

Taluk.

District.

Date of Arz.

Date of Receipt.

Date of Disposal.

No. of Enclosures.

ABSTRACT.

MYSORE DEMAND OFFICE,
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
Rec'd
No 1107/1
2
5-11-94

Petition
Stamp affd

vide petn
Regd no 1101
of 5-11-94

To
His Excellency Sir Lewis Pelly K.C.S.I.
C.S.I. &
Councellor, to His Highness the
Maharaja of Mysore,

Most respectfully Sheweth

That your petitioner is the de
cendant of Kalagnani Swamis Mutt
at Allalasundra of Tumkur Taluq,
and such the Government was pleased
to pay this mutt, a Stipendiary of Rs 3/-
and add permanent, this privilege was
granted this ancestors during the time
of Tippu Sultan the then Sovereign of
Mysore,

2. That your petitioner owing to
certain unavoidable circumstances in
his private affairs was obliged to leave
his native place and to take up his
residence at Munchanahully of Gari-
bidanoor Taluq during the last Dhathru
famine

3. During his absence at Munchanahully
his garden land bearing No 54 assessed
at

at Rupees 13/4 was resumed by Government without his knowledge and consent on the representation of Village authorities stating that your petitioner deserted the Country and his whereabouts was not known this was in the year 1880. Subsequent to this the very garden was given over by Government to Shanbhoga Gannaiah, on his application for the same. The condition on which the land was leased out to him was to the effect that he must hold the land for a term of one year and in case the owner (the Petitioner) returns to his Village the land in question should be surrendered to the petitioner. No doubt the duakhast papers are not forth coming in the records, though search was made under the orders of the deputy Commissioner. The said Lachmi narasiah who was then doing the duties of Shanbhoga office must have had some underhand dealings in suppressing the important papers as this right to the land must be at stake. Be this as it may, there is ample evidence for the coming now to show, that the original

lease

Lease to Luchmi narsiah was conditional
The Mukdar Shanbhoge must be responsible
Villagers are able to confront my allegations
4. That your petitioner was not
allowed an opportunity to substantiate
the same. It was true that your
petitioner did not prove the above fact
in his former application to his Excellency
the Dewan Sail, as the records was not
forth coming. Hence the land obtained
by Luchmi narsiah by Government
was not on trust, and although there was
change of hands, subsequently the
adverse possession cannot be pleaded
by him or by his successors;—
5. That your petitioner most res-
pectfully begs to one important fact
that the said Luchmi narsiah knew, or had
reason to believe that the land belonged
to your petitioner and it contained good
many fruit bearing trees, and if the
Government instead of resumption
had sold the same would have fetched
a decent sum that no notice of any
kind was served on your petitioner
before

before its resumption

6. That your petitioner further begs to your generous notice, that after the cease to Lutchiminaraiah he cut off a good many cocoanut and other trees & beg in order to give out no trace of the Garden existed. There are at present very few cocoanut and tamarind trees still standing on the land worth about 200 Rupees. This fact strengthens my allegations made the Condonement to Lutchiminaraiah conditionally.

7. That it was his great misfortune that the conditional leave was not proved much in his former complaint and that was the reason of his Excellency the Diwan Saib's refusals to interfere in the matters as per Endorsement No. C. 475 dt. 25th June 1894 herewith enclosed

8. That under the circumstances of the case your petitioner most respectfully begs that his case may be perused & at your honors disposals on its merits after ordering an enquiry by the deputy Commissioner.

9. For which act of Kindness, your humble petitioner shall pray.

Your humble petitioner
Remains
Your Excellencys most obedient
Servant

20th October 1894
—Mysore—

Reference LR 3937

1897

No. 763

Name of Petitioner Ramaswamy Ayyangar

Village Halasandra Kilpauk

Taluk

District Chingleput

Date of Arzi 10.9.97

Date of Receipt 14.9.97

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure Nil

ABSTRACT.

In continuation of his Petn. to Govt. regarding the land that was taken away by Govt. from him in the year 1875-76, he requests that the Govt. waste lands of

S. No. 55.	2-25
& S. No. 57	9-20

might be given in exchange for his land as promised by the Dewan in a personal interview.

16/9/97.

Boy Kalk. 9.97

No. 763

Resp. from H.H. 4-9-97

Resp 14/9/97

763.M.
4-9-97

H. Excellency Sir H.E.H. the
Devan of Mysore.
Bangalore

May it please your Excellency,

On behalf of Rajah Kesava Rajayacharya, residing at Chalapalya, Jaladupa Taluk, Sunkur Taluq.

I have the honour to submit by this letter a petition of Kesava Rajayacharya, the circumstances under which he is compelled to make it are as follows. I am informed that a certain Venkatappa, a native of Sunkur Taluq, has taken out a decree against me in the Court of the Sunkur Munsiff for a certain sum of money. In execution of the decree, my property has been attached and is about to be sold. I am informed that the said Venkatappa has also filed a suit against me in the Court of the Munsiff of Sunkur. On my behalf I have applied to the Court for time to file my written statement in the suit, but the Court has refused to grant me time. I therefore humbly pray your Excellency to be graciously pleased to direct the Sunkur Munsiff to grant me

Pet 787 P.

29-12-97

LR 73-93-8

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying ~~Appeal~~ Petition Registered No. 763 dated 20-9-97 received at the Dewan's Office from Sri Ayyam Perumal Muthupattanam - Pillai Alalasandra, Kolagere Limit - Inclose Lake Irrigation Tank other Lands - - - - - Extent 2 Acres 1/2 in Survey No. 1 Alalasandra Village Chikkanayakanahalli Hobli Tumkur District - Value Rs. 1,000 in respect of which Land Tax is levied

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~ enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner of ~~Kolar~~ Tumkur District, for disposal.

By order,

for Secretary

BANGALORE,

29/12/1897

30

(General Serial No. 304)

6737
Petn

1897.

From The Deputy Commissioner Tumkur District

To The Secretary to the Govt of Mysore Genl Dept Bangalore

Dated 11/3 1897

Despatched 15 "

Received

Enclosures No.

General No. 841
No.

His Official Memo No 75 dated the 9th December 1897.

Reporting on the petition of Venkatachariar Srinivasachariar Tahsildar

Petitioner
17.3.98

Reference.

AC
19/3/98

Complete made out
Mg 22/3/98

Mg 24/3/98

Mg 24/3/98

Mg 26/3/98

26/3/98

24/3/98

Please send the Dy Commr's report whether he received any D.O. by which to send us a copy of it - Q

Mg 24/3/98

Mg 24/3/98

Central Jail Press, Bangalore.—6-3-97.—10,002.

Tumkur District
Deputy Commissioner's Office
No 541
Enc: 1 Camp Honnavalli Dated 11th March 98

To

The Secretary to the
Government of Mysore
General and Revenue Departments

Sir

In returning herewith the Petition from Revanna Reddy Gowdu of Alalasandra in the Tumkur Taluk, received with your official memorandum No 787 dated the 29th December 1897, I have the honor to invite reference to correspondence ending with your memo dated 8th February 1894 in respect of land Survey No 54 (Part) of Alalasandra which the petitioner forfeited by his desertion and to state that

the

Call for a report
Are the lands applied for
available & if so have
they any & what market
value ?

2 of 6-S.

2/4/98

+ Docket-to-Collector-Form-2
Ref: no 7638 dt 5/3/97.

(2 of 4-1)

2-4-98

Profee 5/4/98

1898

No. 2465

Name of Petitioner Kalyanam Ramana Sastry & ors

Village Jammichamakally

Taluk Gudivada

Distt. Kistna

Date of Appl. 2-4-98

Date of Receipt 2-4-98

Date of Disposal

No. of Enclosure 3-

ABSTRACT

States that there were some
assured
dry, wet & garden lands all
apart for the use of the Inam
that the ancestors of the peti-
-tioner dispossessed the lands
at a great cost in order to
realize benefit thereby - that
during the late famine of
1876-77
Bhadra & Yeswara, the ancs
of the petitioner left the place
& on their return after famine
it was
they found that the lands
had been otherwise disposed
of. that he therefore
applied

applied to the Taluk & Dist
authorities- that he was informed
by Govt: that separate lands
would be granted in lieu of
those that were otherwise disposed of if
the petitioner selected them
elsewhere- that he accordingly
selected Survey Nos: 58 & 59
of Kodagarlahalli, Balakunta-
-halli & Sy. No. majare in Chikabal
-apur Taluk & submitted petition
to Govt. & the Dy Comr. &
that his application dated
10-9-97 to Govt: has not
been decided yet-

Prays that the 2 Survey Nos:
selected by him may be
granted gratis-

CA
4/4/98

the petitioner now applies for the grant of certain numbers in the Chikballapur Taluk of the Kolar District, in exchange for the said Survey no 54 of Alalasandra. As the lands mentioned by the petitioner are situated in the Kolar District, the application may be referred to the Deputy Commissioner of that District for disposal.

I have the honor to be
Sir
Your most obedient Servant

Venkatarama Rao

Deputy Commissioner
Tumkur District

D.O. (10)

LR 73-93-10

From

The Secretary to Govt
Land Revenue Dept

To

~~The Deputy Commissioner~~
Sundur D.

Dear Sir

In forwarding here
with for reference and
~~necessary~~ action, petn no
463 dated 10.9.97 of Revana
radhyaswami of Hale
Sandur in the Sundur
Taluk, ~~with his letter~~
with your letter no 824/
dated 11-3-98, I am directed
~~have~~ to request you to
be good as to state if
any ~~report~~ has been received
by you in the matter from
this office in December
1894 and if so to forward
a copy of it alongwith
date for reference.

I am &c.

for Secretary

Do
Rao Sahib Ramachandra Rao Esq
Dy. Comr. Sundur Dh.

26/8/98.

REVENUE DEPARTMENT
GL. No. 8933
JUN. 8 1898
Pet. 669
DEMAND OFFICE

41

4873-93-13

Petition 11 21

Reps Petitioner 8/6/98

Sub

8

Sub Secretary with Memo. Cont'd 14/6/98

From The Deputy Commissioner Kolar District

To

The Secretary to the Government Dewan of Mysore.

General and Revenue Department.

Dated 4th June 1898

Despatched 6th do

Received

General No. 4086 } 1897-98
No. 1208

Enclosure No. 4

With reference to Government official memo. no. Pet/1268 dated the 6th April 98, forwarding for report petition dated 10th September 97, from Kalagnani Revana Radhyaswamy of Akkasandra in the Sumkur Taluq, praying for the grant of survey nos 58 and 59 of Balakunta-halli in the Chikballapur Taluq

52

Sahey, has the honor to submit her
Copy of docket no. 591 of the 27th Ult
together with its enclosures in original,
ceived from the Sub Division office of
ballapur, from which it will be seen
the lands applied for are available
that their market value is estimate
the Amildar to be about Rs 1622-8-
2. The petition received with the office
memo. under reply is herewith return
requested

H. M. Cunningham
offg. Deputy Commis
Kolar Di
From

2465

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.
PETITION DEPARTMENT.
REG'D. NO. 2465
DATE 2-4-58

Pet 1268 P2 73 6-4-98

MYSORE DEWAN'S OFFICE.

PETITION DEPARTMENT.

M 73-83-12

OFFICIAL MEMORANDUM.

The accompanying Appeal Petition

Registered No. 763 dated 14-9-97

received at the Dewan's Office from

Kalagrama Ravanna raidhiya

Sivanna of Halasandra

in the Tumkur Taluk, praying

for orders in his petition

praying for grant of Inam

Kalakilli and Balachandrahally

in the Chickballapur Taluk

is forwarded, ~~together with its~~

enclosure, to the Deputy Commissioner

of the Kolar District

for a full report of the facts of the case

and on the points urged in the petition.

2. The petition with its enclosure

should be returned.

The Deputy Commissioner is

requested to report whether

the lands applied for are available

by the petr

and if so what should be their

value

By order,

BANGALORE,

7/4/ 1898

for Chief Secretary

5/4/98

M.G.C.P.B.—25-4-95—1,000

From

The Sub Division officer

Chikballapur

To

The Deputy Commissioner

Kolar district

dated 27th May 1933

Despatched 31st do

Record no 591. En no 4.

Deputy Commissioner's memo no. 837 dated 8th ultimo, forwarding for enquiry and report, official memorandum no Pet 1268 dated 6th idem, together with a petition Registered no. 763 dated 14th September last from Kalagnani Ramanna Radhakrishnayya of Alabanda in the Jumkur taluq, in re grant of Survey numbers 58 and 59 of Kotagarlahalli and Balakuntalli in the Chikballapur taluq.

With reference to the above, has the

In honor I forward enclosed Chikkaballapur Taluk Survey Sketch No. 523 dated 25th Ultimo, from which it will be seen that the survey numbers 58 and 59 alluded to in the official memorandum relating to Balakuntanahalli in the Chikkaballapur Taluq, the former measuring A G
2-25 with a dry assessment of Rs 4/1, and the latter containing A G
6-14 of dry land assessed at Rs 9-4-0, and A G
2-36 of garden assessed at Rs ps
13-12-0, with no fees of any description standing thereon.

This would appear to the Government waste since the survey settlement in Kadiana (1883-84) and are to be counted into wet by the water of the newly constructed Narasagara Tank. Some of the lands under the said Tank already sold, seem to have fetched

52

94/36

669

a bid up to Rs 100 for an acre of dry land and Rs 250 for an acre of garden. Calculating at this rate the value of the two numbers in question maybe set down at Rs 1622-8-0.

2. The petition and the original official memorandum are herewith returned.

(Signed) K. Ramachandra Row
Subdivisional Officer
Chikballapur

Copy
[illegible]
Dy. Deputy Commissioner
Kolar District.

Offer

Offer

Offer

763 (15) 10-9-98

W.P. 73-93-14

Pet
#17-98 9.5.98 P.

Copy forwarded to the
Hon Commr Junkin Ottawa for
his information with reference to his letter
No 8 of 5/3/98